arXiv:0802.4252v1 [nlin.SI] 28 Feb 2008

Global Birkhoff coordinates for the periodic Toda lattice

Andreas Henrici Thomas Kappeler*

February 28, 2008

Abstract

In this paper we prove that the periodic Toda lattice admits globally defined Birkhoff coordinates.[1]

1 Introduction

Consider the Toda lattice with period N ($N \geq 2$),

$$\dot{q}_n = \partial_{p_n} H, \quad \dot{p}_n = -\partial_{q_n} H$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where the (real) coordinates $(q_n, p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfy $(q_{n+N}, p_{n+N}) = (q_n, p_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the Hamiltonian H_{Toda} is given by

$$H_{Toda} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n^2 + \alpha^2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{q_n - q_{n+1}} \tag{1}$$

where α is a positive parameter, $\alpha > 0$. For the standard Toda lattice, $\alpha = 1$. The Toda lattice was introduced by Toda [17] and studied extensively in the sequel. It is an important model for an integrable system of N particles in one space dimension with nearest neighbor interaction and belongs to the family of lattices introduce and numerically investigated by Fermi, Pasta, and Ulam in their seminal paper [5]. To prove the integrability of the Toda lattice, Flaschka introduced in [3] the (noncanonical) coordinates

$$b_n := -p_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a_n := \alpha e^{\frac{1}{2}(q_n - q_{n+1})} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

These coordinates describe the motion of the Toda lattice relative to the center of mass. Note that the total momentum is conserved by the Toda flow, hence any trajectory of the center of mass is a straight line.

*Supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation, the programme SPECT, and the European Community through the FP6 Marie Curie RTN ENIGMA (MRTN-CT-2004-5652)

[1] 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37J35, 70H06

In these coordinates the Hamiltonian H_{Toda} takes the simple form

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n^2,$$

and the equations of motion are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcll} \dot{b}_n &=& a_n^2 - a_{n-1}^2 & \\ \dot{a}_n &=& \frac{1}{2}a_n(b_{n+1} - b_n) & \qquad (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \end{array} \right. \tag{2}$$

Note that $(b_{n+N}, a_{n+N}) = (b_n, a_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\prod_{n=1}^{N} a_n = \alpha^N$. Hence we can identify the sequences $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with the vectors $(b_n)_{1\le n\le N} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $(a_n)_{1\le n\le N} \in \mathbb{R}^N_{>0}$. Our aim is to study the normal form of the system of equations (2) on the phase space

$$\mathcal{M} := \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N_{>0}.$$

This system is Hamiltonian with respect to the nonstandard Poisson structure $J \equiv J_{b,a}$, defined at a point $(b, a) = ((b_n, a_n)_{1\le n\le N}$ by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ -{}^tA & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where A is the b-independent $N \times N$-matrix

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_N \\ -a_1 & a_2 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & -a_2 & a_3 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_{N-1} & a_N \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The Poisson bracket corresponding to (3) is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}_J(b, a) &= \langle(\nabla_b F, \nabla_a F),\, J\,(\nabla_b G, \nabla_a G)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \\ &= \langle \nabla_b F, A\,\nabla_a G\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^N} - \langle \nabla_a F, A^t\,\nabla_b G\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^N}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $F, G \in C^1(\mathcal{M})$ and where ∇_b and ∇_a denote the gradients with respect to the N-vectors $b = (b_1, \dots, b_N)$ and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$, respectively. Therefore, equations (2) can alternatively be written as $\dot{b}_n = \{b_n, H\}_J$, $\dot{a}_n = \{a_n, H\}_J$ $(1 \le n \le N)$. Further note that

$$\{b_n, a_n\}_J = \frac{a_n}{2}; \quad \{b_{n+1}, a_n\}_J = -\frac{a_n}{2}, \tag{6}$$

while $\{b_n, a_k\}_J = 0$ for any n, k with $n \notin \{k, k+1\}$.

Since the matrix A defined by (4) has rank $N-1$, the Poisson structure J is degenerate. It admits the two Casimir functions[2]

$$C_1 := -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 := \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N} a_n\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \tag{7}$$

whose gradients $\nabla_{b,a}C_i = (\nabla_b C_i, \nabla_a C_i)$ $(i=1,2)$, given by

$$\nabla_b C_1 = -\frac{1}{N}(1,\ldots,1), \qquad \nabla_a C_1 = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$\nabla_b C_2 = 0, \qquad \nabla_a C_2 = \frac{C_2}{N}\left(\frac{1}{a_1},\ldots,\frac{1}{a_N}\right), \tag{9}$$

are linearly independent at each point (b,a) of $\mathcal{M}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha} := \{(b,a) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} : (C_1, C_2) = (\beta,\alpha)\}$$

denote the level set of (C_1, C_2) for $(\beta,\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Note that $(-\beta 1_N, \alpha 1_N) \in \mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}$ where $1_N = (1,\ldots,1) \in \mathbb{R}^N$. By (8)-(9), the sets $\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}$ are real analytic submanifolds of $\mathcal{M}$ of codimension two. Furthermore the Poisson structure J, restricted to $\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}$, becomes nondegenerate everywhere on $\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}$ and therefore induces a symplectic structure $\nu_{\beta,\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}$. In this way, we obtain a symplectic foliation of $\mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}$ being its (symplectic) leaves.

To state the main result of this paper, we introduce the model space

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathbb{R}^{2(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

endowed with the degenerate Poisson structure J_0 whose symplectic leaves are $\mathbb{R}^{2(N-1)} \times \{\beta\} \times \{\alpha\}$ endowed with the canonical symplectic structure.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega : (\mathcal{M}, J) &\to (\mathcal{P}, J_0) \\ (b,a) &\mapsto ((x_n,y_n)_{1\le n\le N-1}, C_1, C_2) \end{aligned}$$

with the following properties:

- Ω *is a real analytic diffeomorphism.*
- Ω *is canonical, i.e. it preserves the Poisson brackets. In particular, the symplectic foliation of* $\mathcal{M}$ *by* $\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}$ *is trivial.*
- *The coordinates* $(x_n,y_n)_{1\le n\le N-1}, C_1, C_2$ *are global Birkhoff coordinates for the periodic Toda lattice, i.e. the transformed Toda Hamiltonian* $\hat{H} = H\circ\Omega^{-1}$ *is a function of the actions* $(I_n)_{1\le n\le N-1}$ *and* C_1, C_2 *alone.*

[2] A smooth function $C : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Casimir function for J if $\{C,\cdot\}_J \equiv 0$.

Further properties of Ω are discussed at the end of section 7.

In [9], we use the Birkhoff coordinates $(x_n, y_n)_{1\leq n\leq N-1}$ given by Theorem 1.1 to obtain a KAM-theorem for the periodic Toda lattice.

Related work: Theorem 1.1 improves on earlier work on the normal form of the periodic Toda lattice in [1, 2]. In particular, we construct global Birkhoff coordinates on all of $\mathcal{M}$ instead of a single symplectic leaf and show that techniques recently developed for treating the KdV equation (cf. [10, 11]) and the defocusing NLS equation (cf. [7, 14]) can also be applied for the Toda lattice.

Outline of the paper: In section 2 we review the Lax pair of the periodic Toda lattice and collect some auxiliary results on the spectrum of the Jacobi matrix $L(b, a)$ associated to an element $(b, a) \in \mathcal{M}$. The construction of the coordinates $(x_n, y_n)_{1\leq n\leq N-1}$ (see section 4) uses the action variables $(I_n)_{1\leq n\leq N-1}$ defined on $\mathcal{M}$ and the angle variables $(\theta_n)_{1\leq n\leq N-1}$ defined on a dense domain $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}$, both of which have been studied in detail in our previous paper [8]. We give a brief review of these action-angle variables in section 3. The coordinates $(x_n, y_n)_{1\leq n\leq N-1}$ are then defined on the dense domain $\mathcal{M}'$ by

$$(x_n, y_n) = \sqrt{2I_n}(\cos\theta_n, \sin\theta_n).$$

In a first step we show that the coordinate functions (x_n, y_n) extend to real analytic functions on all of $\mathcal{M}$. Using the canonical relations among the action-angle variables established in [8] we then show in section 7 that Ω is a canonical local diffeomorphism. Finally, the fact that Ω is 1-1 and onto is deduced from a priori estimates of the actions which are used to prove that Ω is proper (cf. section 7).

2 Preliminaries

It is well known (cf. e.g. [17]) that the system (2) admits a Lax pair formulation $\dot{L} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = [B, L]$, where $L \equiv L^+(b, a)$ is the periodic Jacobi matrix defined by

$$L^{\pm}(b,a) := \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & \dots & \pm a_N \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{N-1} \\ \pm a_N & \dots & 0 & a_{N-1} & b_N \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

and B the skew-symmetric matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & 0 & \dots & -a_N \\ -a_1 & 0 & a_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & -a_2 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{N-1} \\ a_N & \dots & 0 & -a_{N-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the flow of $\dot{L} = [B, L]$ is isospectral.

Proposition 2.1. *For a solution $\big(b(t), a(t)\big)$ of the periodic Toda lattice (2), the eigenvalues $(\lambda_j^{\pm})_{1\le j\le N}$ of $L^{\pm}\big(b(t), a(t)\big)$ are conserved quantities.*

Let us now collect a few results from [15] and [17] of the spectral theory of Jacobi matrices needed in the sequel. Denote by $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of the phase space $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}} = \{(b, a) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} : \text{Re } a_j > 0 \quad \forall\, 1 \le j \le N\}.$$

For $(b, a) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we consider for any complex number λ the difference equation

$$(R_{b,a}y)(k) = \lambda y(k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{11}$$

where $y(\cdot) = y(k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $R_{b,a}$ is the difference operator

$$R_{b,a} = a_{k-1}S^{-1} + b_k S^0 + a_k S^1 \tag{12}$$

with S^m denoting the shift operator of order $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e.

$$(S^m y)(k) = y(k + m) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Fundamental solutions: The two fundamental solutions $y_1(\cdot, \lambda)$ and $y_2(\cdot, \lambda)$ of (11) are defined by the standard initial conditions $y_1(0, \lambda) = 1$, $y_1(1, \lambda) = 0$ and $y_2(0, \lambda) = 0$, $y_2(1, \lambda) = 1$. They satisfy the *Wronskian identity*

$$W(n) := y_1(n, \lambda)y_2(n + 1, \lambda) - y_1(n + 1, \lambda)y_2(n, \lambda) = \frac{a_N}{a_n}. \tag{13}$$

Note that for $n = N$ one gets

$$W(N) = 1. \tag{14}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_i(k, \lambda, b, a)$, $i = 1, 2$, is a polynomial in λ of degree at most $k - 1$ and depends real analytically on (b, a) (see [15]). In particular, one easily verifies that $y_2(N+1, \lambda, b, a)$ is a polynomial in λ of degree N with leading term $\alpha^{-N}\lambda^N$, whereas $y_1(N, \lambda)$ is a polynomial in λ of degree less than N.

Discriminant: We denote by $\Delta(\lambda) \equiv \Delta(\lambda, b, a)$ the *discriminant* of (11), defined by

$$\Delta(\lambda) := y_1(N, \lambda) + y_2(N + 1, \lambda). \tag{15}$$

In the sequel, we will often write Δ_λ for $\Delta(\lambda)$. As $y_2(N + 1, \lambda) = \alpha^{-N}\lambda^N + \dots$ and $y_1(N, \lambda) = O(\lambda^{N-1})$, $\Delta(\lambda, b, a)$ is a polynomial in λ of degree N with leading term $\alpha^{-N}\lambda^N$, and it depends real analytically on (b, a) (see e.g. [17]). According to Floquet's Theorem (see e.g. [16]), for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ given, (11) admits a periodic or antiperiodic solution of period N if the discriminant $\Delta(\lambda)$ satisfies $\Delta(\lambda) = +2$ or $\Delta(\lambda) = -2$, respectively. These solutions correspond to eigenvectors of L^+ or L^-, respectively, with $L^{\pm}$ defined by (10). It turns out to be more convenient to

combine these two cases by considering the periodic Jacobi matrix $Q \equiv Q(b,a)$ of size $2N$ defined by

$$Q = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} b_1 & a_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_N \\ a_1 & b_2 & \ddots & \vdots & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{N-1} & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & a_{N-1} & b_N & a_N & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \dots & 0 & a_N & b_1 & a_1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 & a_1 & b_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{N-1} \\ a_N & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & a_{N-1} & b_N \end{array}\right).$$

Then the spectrum of the matrix Q is the union of the spectra of the matrices L^+ and L^- and therefore the zero set of the polynomial $\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4$. The function $\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4$ is a polynomial in λ of degree $2N$ and admits a product representation

$$\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4 = \alpha^{-2N} \prod_{j=1}^{2N} (\lambda - \lambda_j). \tag{16}$$

The factor α^{-2N} in (16) comes from the above mentioned fact that the leading term of $\Delta(\lambda)$ is $\alpha^{-N}\lambda^N$.

For any $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$, the matrix Q is symmetric and hence the eigenvalues $(\lambda_j)_{1\le j\le 2N}$ of Q are real. When listed in increasing order and with their algebraic multiplicities, they satisfy the following relations (cf. [15])

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 < \lambda_4 \le \lambda_5 < \dots \lambda_{2N-2} \le \lambda_{2N-1} < \lambda_{2N}.$$

As explained above, the λ_j are periodic or antiperiodic eigenvalues of L and thus eigenvalues of L^+ or L^- according to whether $\Delta(\lambda_j) = 2$ or $\Delta(\lambda_j) = -2$. One has (cf. [15])

$$\Delta(\lambda_1) = (-1)^N \cdot 2, \quad \Delta(\lambda_{2n}) = \Delta(\lambda_{2n+1}) = (-1)^{n+N} \cdot 2, \quad \Delta(\lambda_{2N}) = 2. \tag{17}$$

Since Δ_λ is a polynomial of degree N with leading term $\alpha^{-N}\lambda^N$, $\dot\Delta_\lambda \equiv \dot\Delta(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\Delta(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $N-1$ with leading term $N\alpha^{-N}\lambda^{N-1}$, hence admits a product representation of the form

$$\dot\Delta_\lambda = N\alpha^{-N} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} (\lambda - \dot\lambda_k). \tag{18}$$

The zeroes $(\dot\lambda_n)_{1\le n\le N-1}$ of $\dot\Delta_\lambda$ satisfy $\lambda_{2n} \le \dot\lambda_n \le \lambda_{2n+1}$ for any $1 \le n \le N-1$. The open intervals $(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n+1})$ are referred to as the *n-th spectral gap* and $\gamma_n := \lambda_{2n+1} - \lambda_{2n}$ as the *n-th gap length*. Note that $|\Delta(\lambda)| > 2$ on the spectral

gaps. We say that the n-th gap is *open* if $\gamma_n > 0$ and *collapsed* otherwise. The set of elements $(b, a) \in \mathcal{M}$ for which the n-th gap is collapsed is denoted by D_n,

$$D_n := \{(b, a) \in \mathcal{M} : \gamma_n = 0\}. \tag{19}$$

Using that γ_n^2 (unlike γ_n) is a real analytic function on $\mathcal{M}$, it can be shown that D_n is a real analytic submanifold of $\mathcal{M}$ of codimension 2 (cf. [11] for a similar statement in the case of Hill's operator).

Isolating neighborhoods: Let $(b, a) \in \mathcal{M}$ be given. The strict inequalities $\lambda_{2n-1} < \lambda_{2n}$ $(1 \le n \le N)$ guarantee the existence of a family of mutually disjoint open subsets $(U_n)_{1 \le n \le N-1}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ so that for any $1 \le n \le N-1$, U_n is a neighborhood of the closed interval $[\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n+1}]$. Such a family of neighborhoods is referred to as a family of *isolating neighborhoods* for (b, a).

In the case where $(b, a) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$, we list the eigenvalues $(\lambda_j)_{1 \le j \le 2N}$ in lexicographic ordering[3]

$$\lambda_1 \prec \lambda_2 \prec \lambda_3 \prec \ldots \prec \lambda_{2N}.$$

We then extend the gap lenghts γ_n to all of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$\gamma_n := \lambda_{2n+1} - \lambda_{2n} \quad (1 \le n \le N-1)$$

and define

$$D_n^{\mathbb{C}} := \{(b, a) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}} : \gamma_n = 0\}. \tag{21}$$

In the sequel, we will omit the superscript and always write D_n for $D_n^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Similarly, we do this for the zeroes $(\dot{\lambda}_n)_{1 \le n \le N-1}$ of $\dot{\Delta}_\lambda$. As the lexicographic ordering is not continuous, the λ_i's and $\dot{\lambda}_i$'s no longer depend continuously on $(b, a) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$. However, if we choose a small enough complex neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$, then for any $(b, a) \in \mathcal{W}$ the closed intervals $G_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ $(1 \le n \le N-1)$ defined by

$$G_n := \{(1-t)\lambda_{2n} + t\lambda_{2n+1} : 0 \le t \le 1\} \tag{22}$$

are pairwise disjoint, and hence, as in the real case, there exists a family of isolating neighborhoods $(U_n)_{1 \le n \le N-1}$.

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that for any $(b, a) \in \mathcal{W}$, there are neighborhoods U_n of G_n in $\mathbb{C}$ $(1 \le n \le N-1)$ which are pairwise disjoint.*

Remark 2.3. *In the sequel, we will have to shrink the complex neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ several times, but continue to denote it by the same letter.*

[3]The lexicographic ordering $a \prec b$ for complex numbers a and b is defined by

$$a \prec b \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \text{Re } a < \text{Re } b \\ \text{or} \\ \text{Re } a = \text{Re } b \text{ and Im } a \le \text{Im } b. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Contours Γ_n*:* For any $(b,a) \in \mathcal{W}$ and any $1 \le n \le N-1$, we denote by Γ_n a circuit in U_n around G_n with counterclockwise orientation.

Isospectral set: For $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$, the set $\mathrm{Iso}(b,a)$ of all elements $(b',a') \in \mathcal{M}$ so that $Q(b',a')$ has the same spectrum as $Q(b,a)$ is described with the help of the Dirichlet eigenvalues $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \ldots < \mu_{N-1}$ of (11) defined by

$$y_1(N+1,\mu_n) = 0. \tag{23}$$

They coincide with the eigenvalues of the $(N-1)\times(N-1)$-matrix $L_2 = L_2(b,a)$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_2 & a_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ a_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{N-1} \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & a_{N-1} & b_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the sequel, we will also refer to $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{N-1}$ as the Dirichlet eigenvalues of $L(b,a)$. Evaluating the Wronskian identity (13) at $\lambda = \mu_n$ one sees that μ_n lies in the closure of the n-th spectral gap. More precisely, substituting $y_1(N+1,\mu_n) = 0$ in the identity (13) with $\lambda = \mu_n$ yields

$$y_1(N,\mu_n) y_2(N+1,\mu_n) = 1. \tag{24}$$

Hence the value of the discriminant at μ_n is given by

$$\Delta(\mu_n) = y_2(N+1,\mu_n) + \frac{1}{y_2(N+1,\mu_n)} \tag{25}$$

and $|\Delta(\mu_n)| \ge 2$. By Lemma 2.4 below, given the point (b,a) with $b_1 = \ldots = b_N = \beta$ and $a_1 = \ldots = a_N = \alpha$, one has $\lambda_{2n} = \lambda_{2n+1}$ and hence $\mu_n = \lambda_{2n}$ for any $1 \le n \le N-1$. It then follows from a straightforward deformation argument that $\lambda_{2n} \le \mu_n \le \lambda_{2n+1}$ everywhere in the real space $\mathcal{M}$.

Conversely, according to van Moerbeke [15], given any (real) Jacobi matrix Q with spectrum $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 < \lambda_4 \le \lambda_5 < \ldots \lambda_{2N-2} \le \lambda_{2N-1} < \lambda_{2N}$ and any sequence $(\mu_n)_{1\le n\le N-1}$ with $\lambda_{2n} \le \mu_n \le \lambda_{2n+1}$ for $n = 1, \ldots, N-1$, there are exactly 2^r N-periodic Jacobi matrices Q with spectrum $(\lambda_n)_{1\le n\le 2N}$ and Dirichlet spectrum $(\mu_n)_{1\le n\le N-1}$, where r is the number of n's with $\lambda_{2n} < \mu_n < \lambda_{2n+1}$.

In the case where $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$, we continue to define the Dirichlet eigenvalues $(\mu_n)_{1\le n\le N-1}$ by (23), and we list them in lexicographic ordering $\mu_1 \prec \mu_2 \prec \ldots \prec \mu_{N-1}$. Then the μ_i's no longer depend continuously on $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$. However, if we choose the complex neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of Lemma 2.2 small enough, then for any $(b,a) \in \mathcal{W}$ and $1 \le n \le N-1$, there exist isolating neighborhoods $(U_n)_{1\le n\le N-1}$ so that μ_n is contained in the neighborhood U_n of G_n (but not necessarily in G_n itself).

For later use, we compute the spectra of $Q(b,a)$ and $L_2(b,a)$ in the special case $(b,a) = (\beta 1_N, \alpha 1_N)$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha > 0$. Here 1_N denotes the

vector $(1,\dots,1)\in\mathbb{R}^N$. These points are the equilibrium points (of the restrictions) of the Toda Hamiltonian vector field (to the symplectic leaves $\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}$). We compute the spectrum $(\lambda_j)_{1\le j\le 2N}$ of the matrix $Q(\beta 1_N,\alpha 1_N)$ and the Dirichlet eigenvalues $(\mu_l)_{1\le l\le N-1}$ of $L=L(\beta 1_N,\alpha 1_N)$. Furthermore, for any $1\le l\le N-1$, we compute a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_l, $g_l=\big(g_l(j)\big)_{1\le j\le N}$, i.e. $Lg_l=\mu_l g_l$, $g_l(1)=0$, and a vector $h_l=\big(h_l(j)\big)_{1\le j\le N}$ which is the normalized solution of $Ly=\mu_l y$ orthogonal to g_l satisfying $W(h_l,g_l)(N)>0$.

Lemma 2.4. *The spectrum $(\lambda_j)_{1\le j\le 2N}$ of $Q(\beta 1_N,\alpha 1_N)$ and the Dirichlet eigenvalues $(\mu_l)_{1\le l\le N-1}$ of $L(\beta 1_N,\alpha 1_N)$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1&=\beta-2\alpha,\\ \lambda_{2l}=\lambda_{2l+1}=\mu_l&=\beta-2\alpha\cos\frac{l\pi}{N}\quad(1\le l\le N-1),\\ \lambda_{2N}&=\beta+2\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, all spectral gaps of $Q(\beta 1_N,\alpha 1_N)$ are collapsed. For any $1\le l\le N-1$, the vectors g_l and h_l defined by

$$g_l(j)=(-1)^{j+1}\sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}\sin\frac{(j-1)l\pi}{N}\quad(1\le j\le N),\tag{26}$$

$$h_l(j)=(-1)^{j}\sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}\cos\frac{(j-1)l\pi}{N}\quad(1\le j\le N)\tag{27}$$

satisfy $Ly=\mu_l y$ and the normalization conditions

$$\sum_{j=1}^N g_l(j)^2=\sum_{j=1}^N h_l(j)^2=1,\quad g_l(0)>0,\quad g_l(1)=0;$$

$$W(h_l,g_l)(N)>0,\quad \langle h_l,g_l\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^N}=0.$$

Remark 2.5. *Recall that $(g_l(j))_{1\le j\le N}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The normalization condition $g_l(0)>0$ means that $g_l(j)=\nu_l y_1(j,\mu_l)$ for $1\le j\le N$ with $\nu_l>0$.*

3 Action-angle variables

In this section we summarize the results obtained in [8] which we will need in the sequel. First we have to introduce some more notation.

Riemann surface $\Sigma_{b,a}$: Denote by $\Sigma_{b,a}$ the Riemann surface obtained as the compactification of the affine curve $\mathcal{C}_{b,a}$ defined by

$$\{(\lambda,z)\in\mathbb{C}^2 : z^2=\Delta_\lambda^2(b,a)-4\}.\tag{28}$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}_{b,a}$ and $\Sigma_{b,a}$ are spectral invariants. (Strictly speaking, $\Sigma_{b,a}$ is a Riemann surface only if the spectrum of $Q(b,a)$ is simple - see e.g. Appendix A

in [16] for details in this case. If the spectrum of $Q(b,a)$ is *not* simple, $\Sigma(b,a)$ becomes a Riemann surface after doubling the multiple eigenvalues - see e.g. section 2 of [12].)

Dirichlet divisors: To the Dirichlet eigenvalue μ_n $(1 \leq n \leq N-1)$ we associate the point μ_n^* on the surface $\Sigma_{b,a}$,

$$\mu_n^* := \Big(\mu_n, \sqrt[*]{\Delta_{\mu_n}^2 - 4}\Big) \text{ with } \sqrt[*]{\Delta_{\mu_n}^2 - 4} := y_1(N,\mu_n) - y_2(N+1,\mu_n), \quad (29)$$

where we used that $\Delta_{\mu_n}^2 - 4 = \big(y_1(N,\mu_n) - y_2(N+1,\mu_n)\big)^2$.

Standard root: The standard root or s-root for short, $\sqrt[s]{1-\lambda^2}$, is defined for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1,1]$ by

$$\sqrt[s]{1-\lambda^2} := i\lambda \sqrt[+]{1-\lambda^{-2}}. \quad (30)$$

More generally, we define for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ta + (1-t)b \,|\, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ the s-root of a radicand of the form $(b-\lambda)(\lambda - a)$ with $a \prec b, a \neq b$ by

$$\sqrt[s]{(b-\lambda)(\lambda-a)} := \frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt[s]{1-w^2}, \quad (31)$$

where $\gamma := b - a$, $\tau := \frac{b+a}{2}$ and $w := \frac{\lambda - \tau}{\gamma/2}$.

Canonical sheet and canonical root: For $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$ the canonical sheet of $\Sigma_{b,a}$ is given by the set of points $(\lambda, \sqrt[c]{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4})$ in $\mathcal{C}_{b,a}$, where the c-root $\sqrt[c]{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4}$ is defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{N}(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n+1})$ (where $\lambda_0 := -\infty$ and $\lambda_{2N+1} := \infty$) and determined by the sign condition

$$-i\sqrt[c]{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4} > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda_{2N-1} < \lambda < \lambda_{2N}. \quad (32)$$

As a consequence one has for any $1 \leq n \leq N$

$$\operatorname{sign} \sqrt[c]{\Delta_{\lambda - i0}^2 - 4} = (-1)^{N+n-1} \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda_{2n} < \lambda < \lambda_{2n+1}. \quad (33)$$

The definition of the canonical sheet and the c-root can be extended to the neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of Lemma 2.2.

Abelian differentials: Let $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$ and $1 \leq n \leq N-1$. Then there exists a unique polynomial $\psi_n(\lambda)$ of degree at most $N-2$ such that for any $1 \leq k \leq N-1$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{c_k} \frac{\psi_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4}}\, d\lambda = \delta_{kn}. \quad (34)$$

Here, for any $1 \leq k \leq N-1$, c_k denotes the lift of the contour Γ_k to the canonical sheet of $\Sigma_{b,a}$. For any $k \neq n$ with $\lambda_{2k} \neq \lambda_{2k+1}$, it follows from (34) that

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\lambda_{2k}}^{\lambda_{2k+1}} \frac{\psi_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[+]{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4}}\, d\lambda = 0. \quad (35)$$

Hence in every gap $(\lambda_{2k}, \lambda_{2k+1})$ with $k \neq n$ the polynomial ψ_n has a zero which we denote by σ_k^n. If $\lambda_{2k} = \lambda_{2k+1}$ then it follows from (34) and Cauchy's theorem

that $\sigma_k^n = \lambda_{2k} = \lambda_{2k+1}$. As $\psi_n(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $N-2$, one has

$$\psi_n(\lambda) = M_n \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le N-1 \\ k \ne n}} (\lambda - \sigma_k^n), \tag{36}$$

where $M_n \equiv M_n(b,a) \neq 0$.

In a straightforward way one can prove that there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$, so that for any $(b,a) \in \mathcal{W}$ and any $1 \le n \le N-1$, there is a unique polynomial $\psi_n(\lambda)$ of degree at most $N-2$ satisfying (34) for any $1 \le k \le N-1$ as well as the product representation (36), and so that the zeroes are analytic functions on $\mathcal{W}$.

We have seen in the introduction that there are two Casimir functions C_1 and C_2 for J, leading to the symplectic foliation of $\mathcal{M}$ with the leaves $\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}$. In [8] we defined global action variables $(I_n)_{1 \le n \le N-1}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ and, for any $1 \le n \le N-1$, the angle variable θ_n on $\mathcal{M} \setminus D_n$ where D_n is given by (19) and (21).

Definition 3.1. *Let $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$. For $1 \le n \le N-1$,*

$$I_n := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_n} \lambda \frac{\dot{\Delta}_\lambda}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4}}\, d\lambda \tag{37}$$

where $\dot{\Delta}_\lambda = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\Delta_\lambda$ is the λ-derivative of the discriminant $\Delta_\lambda = \Delta(\lambda, b, a)$ and the contour Γ_n and the canonical root $\sqrt[c]{\cdot}$ are given as in section 2.

Definition 3.2. *For any $1 \le n \le N-1$, the function θ_n is defined for $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M} \setminus D_n$ by*

$$\theta_n := \eta_n + \beta_n \ \ (mod\, 2\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n := \sum_{n \ne k = 1}^{N-1} \beta_k^n, \tag{38}$$

where for $k \neq n$,

$$\beta_k^n = \int_{\lambda_{2k}}^{\mu_k^*} \frac{\psi_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4}}\, d\lambda, \quad \eta_n = \int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\mu_n^*} \frac{\psi_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4}}\, d\lambda \ \ (mod\, 2\pi). \tag{39}$$

Here for any $1 \le k \le N-1$, μ_k^* is the Dirichlet divisor defined in (29), and λ_{2k} is identified with the ramification point $(\lambda_{2k}, 0)$ on the Riemann surface $\Sigma_{b,a}$. The integration paths on $\Sigma_{b,a}$ in (39) are required to be admissible in the sense that their image under the projection $\pi : \Sigma_{b,a} \to \mathbb{C}$ on the first component stays inside the isolating neighborhoods U_k.

In [8] we proved the following results.

Theorem 3.3. *(A) There exists a complex neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with the following properties:*

(i) For any $1 \le n \le N-1$, the functions $I_n : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{C}$, $\theta_n : \mathcal{W} \setminus D_n \to \mathbb{C}\,(mod\, \pi)$, and $\beta_n : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{C}$ are analytic.

(ii) On the real space $\mathcal{M}$, each function I_n is real-valued and nonnegative. It vanishes at a point $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if the n-th gap is collapsed, i.e. if $\gamma_n(b,a) = 0$. Moreover $\beta_n(b,a) = 0$ for any $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\gamma_n(b,a) = 0$.

(iii) For any $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, the quotient I_n/γ_n^2 extends analytically from $\mathcal{M} \setminus D_n$ to all of $\mathcal{W}$ and has strictly positive real part on $\mathcal{W}$. As a consequence, $\xi_n = \sqrt[+]{2I_n/\gamma_n^2}$ is a well-defined, analytic and nonvanishing function on $\mathcal{W}$, where $\sqrt[+]{\cdot}$ is the principal branch of the square root on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$.

(B) The variables I_n and θ_n, $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, are globally defined action-angle variables for the periodic Toda lattice. More precisely:

(iv) The functions $(I_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N-1}$ are pairwise in involution and Poisson commute with the Toda Hamiltonian H, i.e. for any $1 \leq m, n \leq N-1$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\{I_m, I_n\}_J = 0, \quad \{H, I_n\}_J = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \{C_i, I_n\}_J = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{W}.$$

(v) The functions $\theta_n : \mathcal{W} \setminus D_n \to \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, are conjugate to the variables $(I_m)_{1 \leq m \leq N-1}$, i.e. for any $1 \leq m \leq N-1$, $j = 1, 2$,

$$\{I_m, \theta_n\}_J = \delta_{mn} \quad \text{and} \quad \{C_i, \theta_n\}_J = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{W} \setminus D_n$$

and

$$\{\theta_m, \theta_n\}_J = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{W} \setminus (D_m \cup D_n).$$

4 Birkhoff map

In this section, we construct the map Ω, $\Omega = ((\Omega_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N-1}, C_1, C_2)$, defined on $\mathcal{W}$. For any $1 \leq n \leq N-1$ and $(b,a) \in \mathcal{W} \setminus D_n$ the n-th component of Ω, $\Omega_n = (x_n, y_n)$, is defined to be

$$(x_n, y_n) = \sqrt[+]{2I_n}(\cos\theta_n, \sin\theta_n).$$

In order to extend (x_n, y_n) to all of $\mathcal{W}$, we substitute the formula $2I_n = \gamma_n^2 \xi_n^2$ of Theorem 3.3 into the definition of (x_n, y_n). Hence, for $(b,a) \in \mathcal{W} \setminus D_n$,

$$\begin{cases} x_n = \xi_n \gamma_n \cos\theta_n = \xi_n \gamma_n \frac{e^{i\theta_n} + e^{-i\theta_n}}{2} \\ y_n = \xi_n \gamma_n \sin\theta_n = \xi_n \gamma_n \frac{e^{i\theta_n} - e^{-i\theta_n}}{2i}. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.3, β_n - and therefore $e^{\pm i\beta_n}$ - as well as ξ_n are analytic on $\mathcal{W}$. Thus it remains to analytically extend the functions

$$z_n^{\pm} := \gamma_n e^{\pm i\eta_n} \tag{40}$$

to $\mathcal{W}$. Note that at this point, $z_n^{\pm}$ is defined on $\mathcal{W} \setminus D_n$ only. The following result is proved in section 5 below.

Proposition 4.1. *The functions $z_n^{\pm}$ extend analytically to $\mathcal{W}$. On $\mathcal{M} \cap D_n$, $z_n^{\pm} = 0$.*

Definition 4.2. *For $(b,a) \in \mathcal{W}$ and $1 \leq n \leq N-1$,*

$$\begin{cases} x_n := \frac{\xi_n}{2}(z_n^+ e^{i\beta_n} + z_n^- e^{-i\beta_n}) \\ y_n := \frac{\xi_n}{2i}(z_n^+ e^{i\beta_n} - z_n^- e^{-i\beta_n}) \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

For any $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, it follows from (41) that

$$x_n \pm i y_n = \xi_n z_n^{\pm} e^{i\beta_n}.$$

Now we are ready to define the coordinate map Ω. As the Casimir function C_2 takes only positive values, we introduce as target space of Ω the model space

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathbb{R}^{2(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega : \quad \mathcal{M} \quad &\to \mathcal{P} \\ (b,a) &\mapsto ((x_n, y_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N-1}, C_1, C_2). \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

In view of Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 4.1 we then have proved

Theorem 4.3. *The map $\Omega : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{P}$ is real analytic. It extends analytically to the complex neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of Theorem 3.3.*

To compute the differential of the map Ω, we first compute for any $1 \leq n \leq N-1$ the gradient $\nabla_{b,a} z_n^+$ of z_n^+ for $1 \leq n \leq N-1$. Let us first recall some notation introduced in [8]. For sequences $\big(v(j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\big), \big(w(j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\big) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ define the N-vectors

$$v \cdot w := \big(v(j)w(j)\big)_{1 \leq j \leq N}, \tag{43}$$

$$v \cdot Sw := \big(v(j)w(j+1)\big)_{1 \leq j \leq N}, \tag{44}$$

where S denotes the shift operator of order 1. Further define the $2N$-vector

$$v \cdot_{\mathbf{S}} w := (v \cdot w, v \cdot Sw + w \cdot Sv). \tag{45}$$

In case $v = w$ we also use the shorter notation

$$v^{\mathbf{2}} := v \cdot_{\mathbf{S}} v. \tag{46}$$

Written componentwise, $v \cdot_{\mathbf{S}} w$ is the $2N$-vector

$$(v \cdot_{\mathbf{S}} w)(j) = \begin{cases} v(j)w(j) & (1 \leq j \leq N) \\ v(j-N)w(j-N+1) + v(j-N+1)w(j-N) & (N < j \leq 2N) \end{cases}.$$

The following proposition will be proved in section 6.

Proposition 4.4. *At any point $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M} \cap D_n$, the gradient $\nabla_{b,a} z_n^+$ is given by*

$$\nabla_{b,a} z_n^+ \equiv (\nabla_b z_n^+, \nabla_a z_n^+) = (h_n - i g_n)^{\mathbf{2}}, \tag{47}$$

where g_n and h_n are defined by (26) and (27), respectively.

It is convenient to introduce the complex version of Ω,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{\mathbb{C}} :(\mathcal{M}, J) &\to (\mathbb{C}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}, J_0) \\ (b,a) &\mapsto ((x_n + i y_n)_{1 \le n \le N-1}, C_1, C_2), \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

and the abbreviations $s_n := \sin \frac{n\pi}{N}$ for $1 \le n \le N-1$. Moreover, for the rest of this section we write $\sqrt{\cdot}$ instead of $\sqrt[+]{\cdot}$ for the principal branch of the square root function, defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha > 0$. The gradient $\nabla_{b,a}\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\Omega^{\mathbb{C}}$ at $(b,a) = (\beta 1_N, \alpha 1_N)$ is given by ($1 \le n \le N-1$)*

$$(\nabla_{b,a} x_n + i\, \nabla_{b,a} y_n)(j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha N}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s_n}} \begin{cases} e^{\frac{(2j-2)i\pi n}{N}} & (1 \le j \le N) \\ -2e^{\frac{(2j-1)i\pi n}{N}} & (N+1 \le j \le 2N) \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

and

$$\nabla_{b,a} C_1 = -\frac{1}{N}(1_N, 0_N), \quad \nabla_{b,a} C_2 = \frac{1}{N}(0_N, 1_N), \tag{50}$$

where $0_N = (0, \dots, 0) = 0 \cdot 1_N$.

Proof. Note that the formulas in (50) immediately follow from the formulas (8) and (9) for the gradients of the Casimir functions C_1 and C_2 at an arbitrary point $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$. It remains to show (49). In order to compute the gradient of $x_n + i y_n$, we use the formula $x_n + i y_n = \xi_n z_n^+ e^{i\beta_n}$ stated above. By Lemma 2.4, all gaps are closed for $(b,a) = (\beta 1_N, \alpha 1_N)$. Hence, by Theorem 3.3 (ii), $\beta_n = 0$ and thus $e^{i\beta_n} = 1$ for any $1 \le n \le N-1$. In addition, by Proposition 4.1, $z_n^+ = 0$. The gradient of $x_n + i y_n = \xi_n z_n^+ e^{i\beta_n}$ with respect to (b,a) at $(b,a) = (\beta 1_N, \alpha 1_N)$ is therefore given by

$$\nabla_{b,a} x_n + i\, \nabla_{b,a} y_n = \xi_n \nabla_{b,a} z_n^+. \tag{51}$$

Further, by Theorem 3.3,

$$\xi_n = \lim_{\gamma_n \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{2I_n}}{\gamma_n}. \tag{52}$$

The proof in [8] of the results stated in Theorem 3.3 shows that

$$\xi_n = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2} \sqrt{\chi_n(\tau_n)},$$

where

$$\chi_n(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^{N-n-1}}{\sqrt[+]{(\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda_{2N} - \lambda)}} \prod_{m \ne n} \frac{\lambda - \dot{\lambda}_m}{\sqrt[+]{(\lambda - \lambda_{2m+1})(\lambda - \lambda_{2m})}}, \tag{53}$$

and

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{2n} + \lambda_{2n+1}) \tag{54}$$

As all gaps are collapsed, $\dot{\lambda}_n = \lambda_{2n} = \lambda_{2n+1} = \tau_n$ for any $1 \le n \le N-1$. Hence, for $\lambda = \tau_n$, the product in (53) is equal to $(-1)^{N-n-1}$ and thus

$$\xi_n = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}\sqrt{\chi_n(\tau_n)} = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}\big((\lambda_{2N} - \tau_n)(\tau_n - \lambda_1)\big)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

By Lemma 2.4, $\lambda_1 = \beta - 2\alpha$, $\lambda_{2N} = \beta + 2\alpha$, and $\tau_n = \beta - 2\alpha\cos\frac{n\pi}{N}$. Therefore

$$\xi_n = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}\left(4\alpha^2\left(1 - \cos^2\frac{n\pi}{N}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \left(\frac{8\alpha}{N}\sin\frac{n\pi}{N}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{8\alpha}}\cdot\frac{1}{\sqrt{s_n}}. \tag{55}$$

Next, by Proposition 4.4, the gradient $\nabla_{b,a}z_n^+$ of z_n^+ in (51) is given by

$$\nabla_{b,a}z_n^+ = (h_n - ig_n)^{\mathbf{2}} \tag{56}$$

where we used the notation introduced in (46). From the formulas (26)-(27) for g_n and h_n we then obtain from (56) in the case $(b,a) = (\beta 1_N, \alpha 1_N)$

$$(\nabla_{b,a}z_n^+)(j) = \frac{2}{N}\begin{cases} e^{\frac{(2j-2)i\pi n}{N}} & (1 \le j \le N) \\ -2e^{\frac{(2j-1)i\pi n}{N}} & (N+1 \le j \le 2N) \end{cases}. \tag{57}$$

Substituting (55) and (57) into (51) then yields the claimed formula (49) and therefore completes the proof of Theorem 4.5. □

We end this section with proving commutator relations among the variables $(x_n, y_n)_{1\le n\le N-1}$ which will be used in section 7 to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 4.6. *For any $(b,a) \in \mathcal{W}$ and $1 \le k,l \le N-1$, the following relations hold:*

$$\{x_k, x_l\}_J = 0\,;\ \{y_k, y_l\}_J = 0\,;\ \{x_k, y_l\}_J = \delta_{kl}.$$

Proof. By the continuity of $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_J$, it is sufficient to prove the claimed relations for any $1 \le k,l \le N-1$ and $(b,a) \in \mathcal{W}\backslash(D_k \cup D_l)$. In this case, $x_n = \sqrt{2I_n}\cos\theta_n$ and $y_n = \sqrt{2I_n}\sin\theta_n$ for $n \in \{k,l\}$. Let us first show $\{x_k, y_l\} = \delta_{kl}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\{x_k, y_l\}_J &= \{\sqrt{2I_k}\cos\theta_k, \sqrt{2I_l}\sin\theta_l\}_J \\
&= \cos\theta_k\sqrt{2I_l}\{\sqrt{2I_k}, \sin\theta_l\}_J + \sin\theta_l\sqrt{2I_k}\{\cos\theta_k, \sqrt{2I_l}\}_J \\
&= \cos\theta_k\cos\theta_l\frac{\sqrt{2I_l}}{\sqrt{2I_k}}\{I_k, \theta_l\}_J + \sin\theta_k\sin\theta_l\frac{\sqrt{2I_k}}{\sqrt{2I_l}}\{I_l, \theta_k\}_J \\
&= \delta_{kl},
\end{aligned}$$

where for the latter identity we used Theorem 3.3 (B). The other two claimed relations are proved similarly. □

5 Proof of Proposition 4.1

To prove Proposition 4.1 we follow the arguments used in [11] to prove a similar result for KdV.

Recall from (39) that η_n is the following integral on $\Sigma_{b,a}$,

$$\eta_n = \int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\mu_n^*} \frac{\psi_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4}}\, d\lambda \pmod{2\pi},$$

where μ_n^* is the Dirichlet divisor introduced in (29), and λ_{2n} is identified with the ramification point $(\lambda_{2n}, 0)$ on $\Sigma_{b,a}$.

Note that on $\mathcal{W} \setminus D_n$, $z_n^{\pm} = \gamma_n e^{\pm i\eta_n}$ is continuous. Indeed, possible discontinuities of η_n due to the lexicographic ordering of the eigenvalues $(\lambda_j)_{1\le j\le 2N}$ lead simultaneously to a sign change of γ_n *and* $e^{\pm i\eta_n}$, thus leaving $\gamma_n e^{\pm i\eta_n}$ unaffected. For λ near the interval G_n, defined in (22), one has

$$\frac{\psi_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4}} = \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda_{2n+1} - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_{2n})}}, \tag{58}$$

where

$$\zeta_n(\lambda) := \frac{M_n'}{\sqrt[+]{(\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda_{2N} - \lambda)}} \prod_{m\neq n} \frac{\lambda - \sigma_m^n}{\sqrt[+]{(\lambda_{2m+1} - \lambda)(\lambda_{2m} - \lambda)}}, \tag{59}$$

with $M_n' \neq 0$. Note that ζ_n is analytic and nonvanishing in U_n. We claim that

$$\zeta_n(\mu) = 1 + O(|\gamma_n|) \tag{60}$$

for $\mu \in G_n$, locally uniformly on $\mathcal{W}$. Indeed, for real (b, a) with $\gamma_n > 0$ and any $\mu \in G_n$ we deduce from (34), using that on the interval $(\lambda_{2n}, \lambda_{2n+1})$, both $(-1)^{N+n+1}\psi_n(\lambda)$ and $\zeta_n(\lambda)$ are positive,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi &= \int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\lambda_{2n+1}} \frac{(-1)^{N+n+1}\psi_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[+]{\Delta_\lambda^2 - 4}}\, d\lambda \\
&= \int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\lambda_{2n+1}} \frac{\zeta_n(\mu) + \big(\zeta_n(\lambda) - \zeta_n(\mu)\big)}{\sqrt[+]{(\lambda_{2n+1} - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_{2n})}}\, d\lambda \\
&= \pi\zeta_n(\mu) + O\big(\sup_{\lambda\in G_n} |\zeta_n(\lambda) - \zeta_n(\mu)|\big),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that

$$\int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\lambda_{2n+1}} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt[+]{(\lambda_{2n+1} - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_{2n})}} = \pi.$$

Hence for $\mu \in G_n$,

$$\zeta_n(\mu) = 1 + O\big(\sup_{\lambda\in G_n} |\zeta_n(\lambda) - \zeta_n(\mu)|\big).$$

By Cauchy's estimate, $\sup_{\lambda,\mu\in G_n}|\zeta_n(\lambda)-\zeta_n(\mu)|\le M|\gamma_n|$, where M can be chosen locally uniformly on $\mathcal{W}$. This proves the claimed estimate (60) for real (b,a). For complex $(b,a)\in\mathcal{W}$, the preceding identities remain true at least up to a sign. By the continuity of ζ_n in (b,a) and λ, the estimate (60) remains valid on $\mathcal{W}$.

We now investigate the limiting behavior of $z_n^{\pm}$ as the n-th gap collapses. This limit exists and does not vanish when (b,a) is in the open set

$$X_n := \{(b,a)\in\mathcal{W} : \mu_n(b,a)\notin G_n(b,a)\}.$$

Note that X_n does not intersect the real space $\mathcal{M}$, since $\mu_n\in[\lambda_{2n},\lambda_{2n+1}]$ for real (b,a).

We now define

$$\chi_n(b,a) := \int_{\tau_n}^{\mu_n}\frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)-\zeta_n(\tau_n)}{\lambda-\tau_n}d\lambda, \tag{61}$$

with $\tau_n=(\lambda_{2n}+\lambda_{2n+1})/2$. Note that τ_n is analytic on $\mathcal{W}$. Indeed, using the product representation (16) of Δ_λ^2-4 one gets by the residue theorem

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n}\lambda\frac{\Delta_\lambda\dot{\Delta}_\lambda}{\Delta_\lambda^2-4}d\lambda. \tag{62}$$

Since, locally on $\mathcal{M}$, the contour Γ_n can be kept fixed and $\Delta_\lambda(b,a)$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C}\times\mathcal{W}$, (62) shows that τ_n is a real analytic function on $\mathcal{W}$.

As μ_n and ζ_n are analytic on $\mathbb{C}\times\mathcal{W}$, it then follows that χ_n, defined by (61), is analytic on $\mathcal{W}$.

To facilitate the statement of the following result, define, for any $1\le n\le N-1$, the sign $\epsilon_n=\pm1$ for elements (b,a) in X_n so that

$$\frac{\psi_n(\mu_n)}{\sqrt[*]{\Delta_{\mu_n}^2-4}} = \frac{\epsilon_n\zeta_n(\mu_n)}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda_{2n+1}-\mu_n)(\mu_n-\lambda_{2n})}}. \tag{63}$$

Note that the s-root is well defined, since $\mu_n\notin G_n$ for $(b,a)\in X_n$. To prove Proposition 4.1 we need the following auxiliary result:

Lemma 5.1. *As $(b,a)\in\mathcal{W}\setminus D_n$ tends to $(b_0,a_0)\in D_n\cap X_n$,*

$$\gamma_n e^{\pm i\eta_n}\to -2(1\pm\epsilon_n)(\mu_n-\tau_n)e^{\pm\epsilon_n\chi_n},$$

where ϵ_n is defined by (63).

Proof. Since X_n is open and $(b_0,a_0)\in X_n\cap D_n$, it follows that $(b,a)\in X_n$ for all (b,a) sufficiently close to (b_0,a_0). Also, $(b,a)\notin D_n$ by assumption.

For $(b,a)\in X_n\setminus D_n$ one has, modulo 2π,

$$\eta_n = \int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\mu_n^*}\frac{\psi_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda^2-4}}\,d\lambda$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=&\epsilon_n \int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\mu_n} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda_{2n+1}-\lambda)(\lambda-\lambda_{2n})}}\, d\lambda \\
=&\epsilon_n \int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\mu_n} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda_{2n})}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda_{2n+1}-\lambda)(\lambda-\lambda_{2n})}}\, d\lambda + \epsilon_n \int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\mu_n} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)-\zeta_n(\lambda_{2n})}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda_{2n+1}-\lambda)(\lambda-\lambda_{2n})}}\, d\lambda \\
=&\eta_n^{(1)} + \eta_n^{(2)} \mod 2\pi.
\end{aligned}
$$

The limiting behavior of the second term $\eta_n^{(2)}$ is straightforward. If $(b,a) \to (b_0,a_0)$, then $\gamma_n \to 0$ and so for $\lambda \neq \tau_n(b_0,a_0)$,

$$\sqrt[s]{(\lambda_{2n+1}-\lambda)(\lambda-\lambda_{2n})} \to i(\lambda-\tau_n)$$

by the definition of the s-root. Hence, by the definition of χ_n,

$$i\eta_n^{(2)} \to \epsilon_n \int_{\tau_n}^{\mu_n} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)-\zeta_n(\tau_n)}{\lambda-\tau_n} d\lambda = \epsilon_n \chi_n.$$

Consequently, as $(b,a) \to (b_0,a_0)$,

$$e^{i\eta_n^{(2)}} \to e^{\epsilon_n \chi_n}.$$

Turning to $\eta_n^{(1)}$, make the substitution $\lambda = \tau_n + z\gamma_n/2$. Then, by the definition (31) of the s-root,

$$\int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\mu_n} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt[s]{(\lambda_{2n+1}-\lambda)(\lambda-\lambda_{2n})}} = \int_{-1}^{\rho_n} \frac{dz}{\sqrt[s]{1-z^2}} = \phi(\rho_n) \tag{64}$$

with

$$\rho_n = \frac{\mu_n - \tau_n}{\gamma_n/2}, \quad \phi(w) := \int_{-1}^{w} \frac{dz}{\sqrt[s]{1-z^2}}. \tag{65}$$

It follows that

$$e^{i\phi(w)} = -w + i\sqrt[s]{1-w^2}, \tag{66}$$

as both sides of the latter identity are analytic, univalent functions on $\mathbb{C}\backslash[-1,1]$, which have the same limit at -1 and satisfy the same differential equation $\frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} = \frac{i}{\sqrt[s]{1-w^2}}$. Hence, writing

$$\exp(i\eta_n^{(1)}) = \exp\left(i\epsilon_n\phi(\rho_n)\zeta_n(\lambda_{2n})\right) = \exp\left(i\phi(\rho_n)\epsilon_n\right)\exp\left(i\epsilon_n\phi(\rho_n)\hat{\zeta}_n\right)$$

with $\hat{\zeta}_n = \zeta_n(\lambda_{2n}) - 1$, we obtain for $(b,a) \in X_n \setminus D_n$

$$\gamma_n e^{i\eta_n^{(1)}} = \gamma_n(-\rho_n + i\sqrt[s]{1-\rho_n^2})^{\epsilon_n} \cdot e^{i\epsilon_n\phi(\rho_n)\hat{\zeta}_n}. \tag{67}$$

Passing to the limit $(b,a) \to (b_0,a_0)$, we have $\gamma_n \to 0$, while $v_n := \mu_n - \tau_n$ tends to a limit different from zero, and hence $|\rho_n| \to \infty$. By the definition (30) of

the s-root, the limit of the first two factors on the right hand side of the above equation can then be computed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_n(-\rho_n + i\sqrt[s]{1-\rho_n^2})^{\epsilon_n} &= \gamma_n(-\rho_n + i\epsilon_n \sqrt[s]{1-\rho_n^2}) \\ &= \gamma_n(-\rho_n - \epsilon_n\rho_n \sqrt[+]{1-\rho_n^{-2}}) \\ &= -2v_n - 2v_n\epsilon_n \sqrt[+]{1-\rho_n^{-2}}) \\ &\to -2v_n(1+\epsilon_n).\end{aligned}$$

As to the third factor in (67), observe that for $|\rho_n|$ large,

$$|\phi(\rho_n)| = \Big|\int_{-1}^{\rho_n} \frac{dz}{\sqrt[s]{1-z^2}}\Big| \le \Big|\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dz}{\sqrt[s]{1-z^2}}\Big| + \int_1^{|\rho_n|} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t-1}\sqrt{t+1}} = O(\sqrt{|\rho_n|}).$$

Since $\hat\zeta_n = O(|\gamma_n|)$ by (60), we thus conclude that $\phi(\rho_n)\hat\zeta_n \to 0$ and so

$$e^{i\epsilon_n\phi(\rho_n)\hat\zeta_n} \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad (b,a) \to (b_0,a_0).$$

Together with the result for $e^{i\eta_n^{(2)}}$ we conclude that for $(b,a) \to (b_0,a_0)$

$$\gamma_n e^{i\eta_n} \to -2v_n(1+\epsilon_n)e^{\epsilon_n\chi_n(b_0,a_0)}$$

as claimed. The limit of $\gamma_n e^{-i\eta_n}$ is a simple variation of this argument. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We extend the functions $z_n^\pm$ to $D_n \cap \mathcal{W}$ as follows

$$z_n^\pm = \begin{cases} -2(1\pm\epsilon_n)(\mu_n - \tau_n)e^{\pm\epsilon_n\chi_n} & \text{on } D_n \cap X_n, \\ 0 & \text{on } D_n \setminus X_n. \end{cases} \tag{68}$$

We have already seen that the functions $z_n^\pm$ are analytic on $\mathcal{W} \setminus D_n$. It is straightforward to verify that $z_n^\pm$ are continuous at every point of $D_n \cap X_n$ and of $D_n \setminus X_n$. Thus $z_n^\pm$ are continuous on all of $\mathcal{W}$. In view of Theorem A.6 in [11] it remains to show that they are weakly analytic, when restricted to $D_n \cap \mathcal{W}$, i.e. that the restriction of $z_n^\pm$ to any one-dimensional complex disc D contained in $D_n \cap \mathcal{W}$ is analytic. If the center of D is in X_n, the entire disc D is in X_n, if chosen sufficiently small. The analyticity of $z_n^\pm = \gamma_n e^{\pm i\eta_n}$ on D is then evident from formula (68), the definition of χ_n, and the local constancy of ϵ_n on X_n. If the center of D does not belong to X_n we argue as follows. The function $\mu_n - \tau_n$ is analytic on D. It either vanishes identically on D in which case $z_n^\pm$ vanishes identically, too. Or it vanishes in only finitely many points. Outside these points, D is in X_n, hence $z_n^\pm$ is analytic there. By continuity and analytic continuation, these functions are analytic on all of D. We thus have shown that $z_n^\pm$ are analytic on D. As χ_n is analytic and ϵ_n is locally constant, it follows that $z_n^\pm$ is weakly analytic on $D_n \cap \mathcal{W}$. This proves the analyticity of $z_n^\pm$ on $\mathcal{W}$. □

6 Proof of Proposition 4.4

To prove Proposition 4.4 we follow the arguments used in [11] to show similar results for KdV. We begin with some preparations for the proof of Proposition 4.4. To compute the gradient of z_n^+ at a point (b,a) in $\mathcal{M}\cap D_n$, we approximate (b,a) by elements (b',a') in

$$B_n := \{(b,a)\in \mathcal{M}\setminus D_n : \mu_n=\tau_n \text{ and } \operatorname{sign}\sqrt[*]{\Delta_{\mu_n}^2-4}=(-1)^{N+n+1}\}. \quad (69)$$

It follows from the results of the spectral theory of Jacobi matrices reviewed in section 2 that $B_n\neq\emptyset$. As a preliminary step towards the computation of $\nabla_{b,a}z_n^+$, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. *For any $(b,a)\in\mathcal{M}\cap D_n$,*

$$\nabla_{b,a}z_n^+ = 2(\nabla_{b,a}\tau_n-\nabla_{b,a}\mu_n)+i\lim_{B_n\ni(b',a')\to(b,a)}(f_{2n+1}^{\mathbf{2}}-f_{2n}^{\mathbf{2}}), \quad (70)$$

where for $i\in\{2n,2n+1\}$ and $(b',a')\in B_n$ we denote by f_i the eigenvector of $L(b',a')$ associated to λ_i, normalized by

$$\sum_{j=1}^N f_i(j)^2=1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \big(f_i(1),f_i(2)\big)\in(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\times\mathbb{R})\cup(\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}_{>0}).$$

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Recall that we have introduced $\psi_n(\lambda)$ and $\zeta_n(\lambda)$ in (36) and (59), respectively. For $(b',a')\in B_n$,

$$\operatorname{sign}\psi_n(\mu_n)=(-1)^{N+n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{sign}\zeta_n(\mu_n)=1$$

(see discussions after (36) and (58)), and hence the identity (58) reads

$$\frac{\psi_n(\mu_n)}{\sqrt[*]{\Delta_{\mu_n}^2-4}}=\frac{\zeta_n(\mu_n)}{\sqrt[+]{(\lambda_{2n+1}-\mu_n)(\mu_n-\lambda_{2n})}}.$$

Going through the calculations in the proof of Lemma 5.1 with (63) replaced by the latter identity, all s-roots replaced by the principal branch $\sqrt[+]{\cdot}$, and with $\epsilon_n=1$, we can write

$$z_n^+=\gamma_n e^{i\eta_n}=\gamma_n e^{i\eta_n^{(1)}}\cdot e^{i\eta_n^{(2)}}$$

where, mod 2π,

$$\eta_n^{(1)}=\zeta_n(\lambda_{2n})\int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\mu_n}\frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt[+]{(\lambda_{2n+1}-\lambda)(\lambda-\lambda_{2n})}}$$

and

$$\eta_n^{(2)}=\int_{\lambda_{2n}}^{\mu_n}\frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)-\zeta_n(\lambda_{2n})}{\sqrt[+]{(\lambda_{2n+1}-\lambda)(\lambda-\lambda_{2n})}}\,d\lambda.$$

Note that with $\lambda = \tau_n + z\gamma_n/2$, $\sqrt[+]{(\lambda_{2n+1}-\lambda)(\lambda-\lambda_{2n})} = \frac{\gamma_n}{2}\sqrt{1-z^2}$ and hence on B_n

$$\eta_n^{(1)} = \zeta_n(\lambda_{2n})\int_{-1}^{0}\frac{dz}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} = \frac{\pi}{4}\zeta_n(\lambda_{2n})$$

In view of (60) we then get in the limit $(b',a') \to (b,a)$, with $(b',a') \in B_n$,

$$\eta_n^{(1)} \to \frac{\pi}{4} \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 2\pi)$$

Using again $\lambda \equiv \lambda(z) = \tau_n + z\gamma_n/2$ one computes for $(b',a') \in B_n$

$$\eta_n^{(2)} = \int_{-1}^{0}\left(\int_0^1 \zeta_n'(\lambda_{2n}+s(\lambda-\lambda_{2n}))ds\right)\frac{\gamma_n(1+z)}{2\sqrt{1-z^2}}dz$$

and thus $\eta_n^{(2)} \to 0$ as $(b',a') \to (b,a)$, or $e^{i\eta_n''} \to 1$.

Since for $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M} \cap D_n$, one has $\gamma_n e^{i\eta_n'} = 0$ by Proposition 4.1, it then follows that

$$\nabla_{b,a} z_n^+ = \lim_{B_n \ni (b',a') \to (b,a)} \nabla_{b,a}\big(\gamma_n e^{i\eta_n'}\big),$$

Moreover, let $v_n := \mu_n - \tau_n$ and as in (65), introduce $\rho_n = \frac{\mu_n - \tau_n}{\gamma_n/2}$. Then $-1 \le \rho_n \le 1$ and by (64) we get for $(b',a') \in B_n$, $e^{i\eta_n^{(1)}} = e^{i\phi(\rho_n)}e^{i\phi(\rho_n)\hat{\zeta}_n}$, where $\hat{\zeta}_n = \zeta_n(\lambda_{2n}) - 1$. By (66) it follows that

$$\gamma_n e^{i\eta_n^{(1)}} = \Big(-2v_n + i\gamma_n \sqrt[+]{1-\rho_n^2}\Big)\cdot\Big(-\rho_n + i\sqrt[+]{1-\rho_n^2}\Big)^{\hat{\zeta}_n}.$$

The gradients of both factors have a limit as $(b',a') \to (b,a)$, and the product rule can be applied. For $(b',a') \in B_n$, we have $\mu_n = \tau_n$ and hence $v_n = 0$ as well as $\rho_n = 0$. Thus, the first factor equals $i\gamma_n$ and hence, in the limit, vanishes, while the second factor equals $(i)^{\hat{\zeta}_n}$ and thus, by (60), converges to 1. As a consequence, by the product rule,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{b,a} z_n^+ &= \lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \nabla_{b,a}\big(\gamma_n e^{i\eta_n'}\big) \\
&= \lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \nabla_{b,a}\big(-2v_n + i\gamma_n \sqrt[+]{1-\rho_n^2}\big) \\
&= 2(\nabla_{b,a}\tau_n - \nabla_{b,a}\mu_n) + i \lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \nabla_{b,a}\gamma_n \\
&= 2(\nabla_{b,a}\tau_n - \nabla_{b,a}\mu_n) + i \lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \big(f_{2n+1}^{\mathbf{2}} - f_{2n}^{\mathbf{2}}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

where for the latter identity we used that on $\mathcal{M} \setminus D_n$, $\nabla_{b,a}\lambda_i = f_i^{\mathbf{2}}$ for $i \in \{2n, 2n+1\}$ (cf. [8], Proposition 5.3) and that $\lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \big(f_{2n+1}^{\mathbf{2}} - f_{2n}^{\mathbf{2}}\big)$ exists, as z_n^+, μ_n, and τ_n are analytic. □

Lemma 6.2. *As $(b',a') \in B_n$ tends to $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M} \cap D_n$, the periodic eigenvectors f_{2n} and f_{2n+1} of $L(b',a')$, normalized as in Lemma 6.1, converge to normalized eigenvectors of $L(b,a)$, denoted by the same symbols, such that in the limit,*

$$\langle f_{2n+1}, g_n\rangle < 0 < \langle f_{2n}, g_n\rangle$$

and

$$f_{2n}(1)\,\langle f_{2n+1}, g_n\rangle = -f_{2n+1}(1)\,\langle f_{2n}, g_n\rangle. \tag{71}$$

Proof of Lemma 6.2. As (b', a') tends to (b, a), the initial data $\big(f_i(1), f_i(2)\big)$ of the normalized eigenvectors f_i ($i \in \{2n, 2n+1\}$) is a vector in the unit disc of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Choose a convergent subsequence of initial data. Then, for $i \in \{2n, 2n+1\}$, f_i can be expressed as a linear combination of the fundamental solutions y_1 and y_2, $f_i = f_i(0)y_1 + f_i(1)y_2$. As the fundamental solutions depend analytically on λ and (b', a'), the eigenvectors f_{2n+1} and f_{2n} then converge to some eigenvectors of $L(b, a)$, which we denote by $\bar{f}_{2n+1}$ and $\bar{f}_{2n}$. Note that, by the normalization of f_i, one has $\bar{f}_i(1) \geq 0$ for $i \in \{2n, 2n+1\}$.

By (70), $\lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \big(\boldsymbol{f}^{\boldsymbol{2}}_{2n+1} - \boldsymbol{f}^{\boldsymbol{2}}_{2n}\big)$ exists. As τ_n is analytic and, on $\mathcal{M} \setminus D_n$, $\nabla_{b,a}\tau_n = \big(\boldsymbol{f}^{\boldsymbol{2}}_{2n+1} + \boldsymbol{f}^{\boldsymbol{2}}_{2n}\big)/2$, it follows that $\lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \big(\boldsymbol{f}^{\boldsymbol{2}}_{2n+1} + \boldsymbol{f}^{\boldsymbol{2}}_{2n}\big)$ exists as well. Hence the limits of $\boldsymbol{f}^{\boldsymbol{2}}_i$, $i \in \{2n, 2n+1\}$ exist, and $\bar{f}_{2n+1}$ and $\bar{f}_{2n}$ are uniquely determined up to a sign. As $\bar{f}_i(1) \geq 0$ for $i \in \{2n, 2n+1\}$, this sign is uniquely determined once we show that

$$\bar{f}_i(1) \neq 0. \tag{72}$$

To simplify notation, write temporarily f and g instead of f_{2n+1} and g_n. To prove (72), observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_{2n+1} - \mu_n)\langle f, g\rangle &= \langle \lambda_{2n+1} f, g\rangle - \langle f, \mu_n g\rangle = \langle Lf, g\rangle - \langle f, Lg\rangle \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Big(\big[b_j f(j) + a_j f(j+1) + a_{j-1} f(j-1)\big]\, g(j) \\
&\qquad - f(j)\,\big[b_j g(j) + a_j g(j+1) + a_{j-1} g(j-1)\big] \Big) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \big(a_j f(j+1) g(j) - a_{j-1} f(j) g(j-1)\big) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \big(a_{j-1} f(j-1) g(j) - a_j f(j) g(j+1)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the latter two sums are telescoping, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_{2n+1} - \mu_n)\langle f, g\rangle &= a_N \big(f(N{+}1)g(N) - f(1)g(0) + f(0)g(1) - f(N)g(N{+}1)\big) \\
&= a_N f(1)\big((-1)^{n+N} g(N) - g(0)\big),
\end{aligned}$$

where for the latter equality we used that $g(1) = 0 = g(N+1)$ and $f(N+1) = (-1)^{n+N} f(1)$ according to whether λ_{2n+1} is a periodic or antiperiodic eigenvalue - see (17) in section 2. Hence we have

$$(\lambda_{2n+1} - \mu_n)\langle f_{2n+1}, g_n\rangle = a_N f_{2n+1}(1)\big((-1)^{n+N} g_n(N) - g_n(0)\big). \tag{73}$$

A similar computation shows that

$$(\lambda_{2n} - \mu_n)\langle f_{2n}, g_n\rangle = a_N f_{2n}(1)\big((-1)^{n+N} g_n(N) - g_n(0)\big). \tag{74}$$

For $(b',a') \in B_n$, one has $\lambda_{2n+1} - \mu_n = \mu_n - \lambda_{2n}$ as well as $f_i(1) > 0$ ($i \in \{2n, 2n+1\}$) and $(-1)^{n+N} g_n(N) \neq g_n(0)$. Hence the quotients of the left and right hand sides of (73) and (74) are well defined, and we obtain $f_{2n}(1)\langle f_{2n+1}, g_n\rangle = -f_{2n+1}(1)\langle f_{2n}, g_n\rangle$. Passing to the limit as $(b',a') \to (b,a)$ we obtain

$$\bar{f}_{2n}(1)\langle \bar{f}_{2n+1}, g_n\rangle = -\bar{f}_{2n+1}(1)\langle \bar{f}_{2n}, g_n\rangle. \tag{75}$$

We claim that

$$\kappa_n := \lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \frac{(-1)^{n+N} g_n(N) - g_n(0)}{\lambda_{2n+1} - \mu_n} \tag{76}$$

exists and that $\kappa_n < 0$. To see it, divide (73) by $(\lambda_{2n+1} - \mu_n)$. Then the existence of the limit in (76) implies that one can take limits of both sides of the resulting equation as $(b',a') \to (b,a)$ to get

$$\langle \bar{f}_{2n+1}, g_n\rangle = a_N \kappa_n \bar{f}_{2n+1}(1). \tag{77}$$

If $\bar{f}_{2n+1}(1) = 0$, then, as $\bar{f}_{2n+1}$ is periodic or antiperiodic, $\bar{f}_{2n+1}(N+1) = 0$ as well. Hence $\bar{f}_{2n+1}$ is a (nontrivial) scalar multiple of g_n and thus $\langle \bar{f}_{2n+1}, g_n\rangle \neq 0$, contradicting (77). Hence the claim that $\kappa_n < 0$ implies that $\bar{f}_{2n+1}(1) > 0$, i.e. $\bar{f}_{2n+1}$ satisfies all the normalization conditions listed in Lemma 6.2.

It remains to prove that the limit (76) exists and that $\kappa_n < 0$, or equivalently,

$$\lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \frac{(-1)^{n+N} y_1(N,\mu_n) - 1}{\lambda_{2n+1} - \mu_n} < 0. \tag{78}$$

Recall that $\overset{*}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\mu_n) - 4}} = y_1(N,\mu_n) - y_2(N+1,\mu_n)$. Hence, for $(b',a') \in B_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2y_1(N,\mu_n) &= \big(y_1(N,\mu_n) + y_2(N+1,\mu_n)\big) + \big(y_1(N,\mu_n) - y_2(N+1,\mu_n)\big) \\ &= \Delta(\mu_n) + \overset{*}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\mu_n) - 4}} \\ &= \Delta(\mu_n) + (-1)^{N-n-1} \overset{+}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\mu_n) - 4}}, \end{aligned}$$

the last equality being a consequence of the definition (69) of B_n. Recall that, according to (17), $2 = (-1)^{n+N}\Delta(\lambda_{2n+1})$. Substituting $4 = \Delta^2(\lambda_{2n+1})$ into the formula above, the inequality (78) can then be equivalently written as

$$\lim_{\substack{(b',a')\\ \to(b,a)}} \left((-1)^{n+N} \frac{\Delta(\mu_n) - \Delta(\lambda_{2n+1})}{\lambda_{2n+1} - \mu_n} - \frac{\overset{+}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\mu_n) - \Delta^2(\lambda_{2n+1})}}}{\lambda_{2n+1} - \mu_n} \right) < 0. \tag{79}$$

Concerning the first term in the above expression, we get in the limit, as $(b',a') \to (b,a)$

$$\frac{\Delta(\mu_n) - \Delta(\lambda_{2n+1})}{\mu_n - \lambda_{2n+1}} \to \dot{\Delta}(\lambda_{2n+1}) = 0,$$

as λ_{2n+1} is a double eigenvalue of $Q(b,a)$. Concerning the second term in (79), write

$$\Delta^2(\mu_n) - \Delta^2(\lambda_{2n+1}) = -2\int_{\mu_n}^{\lambda_{2n+1}} \Delta(\lambda)\dot{\Delta}(\lambda) d\lambda$$

$$= -2\int_{\mu_n}^{\lambda_{2n+1}} \Delta(\lambda)\left(\int_{\dot\lambda_n}^{\lambda} \ddot\Delta(\mu)\,d\mu\right)d\lambda, \qquad (80)$$

where $\dot\lambda_n$ is the unique root of $\dot\Delta$ in the n-th gap. Note that locally uniformly on $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\int_{\dot\lambda_n}^{\lambda} \ddot\Delta(\mu)\,d\mu = (\lambda-\dot\lambda_n)\ddot\Delta(\dot\lambda_n) + O((\lambda-\dot\lambda_n)^2)$$

and

$$-2\int_{\mu_n}^{\lambda_{2n+1}} \Delta(\lambda)(\lambda–\dot\lambda_n)\ddot\Delta(\dot\lambda_n)d\lambda = -2\,\Delta(\mu_n)\ddot\Delta(\dot\lambda_n)\int_{\mu_n}^{\lambda_{2n+1}}(\lambda-\dot\lambda_n)d\lambda + O(\gamma_n^3)$$

The first term on the right hand side of the latter expression can be computed to be, using that $\mu_n=\tau_n$ on B_n and $\dot\lambda_n=\tau_n+O(\gamma_n^2)$ locally uniformly

$$-2\,\Delta(\mu_n)\ddot\Delta(\dot\lambda_n)\frac{1}{2}\left((\lambda_{2n+1}-\dot\lambda_n)^2-(\mu_n-\dot\lambda_n)^2\right) = -\Delta(\mu_n)\ddot\Delta(\dot\lambda_n)\left(\frac{\gamma_n}{2}\right)^2+O(\gamma_n^3).$$

Dividing (80) by $(\mu_n-\lambda_{2n+1})^2$ and taking the limit thus leads to

$$\lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \frac{\Delta^2(\mu_n)-\Delta^2(\lambda_{2n+1})}{(\mu_n-\lambda_{2n+1})^2} = -\Delta(\lambda_{2n+1})\ddot\Delta(\lambda_{2n+1}).$$

As $\Delta(\lambda_{2n+1}) = (-1)^{n+N}\cdot 2$ (see (17)) and $(-1)^{n+N}\ddot\Delta(\lambda_{2n+1}) < 0$ (again by (17) and the fact that $\Delta(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree N), one concludes that $-\Delta(\lambda_{2n+1})\ddot\Delta(\lambda_{2n+1}) > 0$. This proves the estimate (79), hence by (73), $\langle \bar f_{2n+1}, g_n\rangle < 0$. By a similar argument, one shows that $\bar f_{2n}(1) > 0$, and therefore, (75) implies $\langle f_{2n}, g_n\rangle > 0$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.4. According to Lemma 6.2,

$$\lim_{(b',a')\to(b,a)} \left(f_{2n+1}^{\mathbf{2}} - f_{2n}^{\mathbf{2}}\right) = f_{2n+1}^{\mathbf{2}} - f_{2n}^{\mathbf{2}} \qquad (81)$$

where the limiting eigenvectors f_{2n} and f_{2n+1} are orthonormal and satisfy the inequalities $\langle f_{2n+1}, g_n\rangle < 0 < \langle f_{2n}, g_n\rangle$. By definition, g_n and h_n are orthonormal and span the same subspace as f_{2n} and f_{2n+1}. Hence there exist $s,t\geq 0$ with $s^2+t^2=1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2n+1} &= s\,h_n - t g_n,\\ f_{2n} &= t\,h_n + s g_n. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these formulas into equation (71), we obtain $t^2=s^2$, and hence $s=t=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2n+1}^{\mathbf{2}} - f_{2n}^{\mathbf{2}} &= -2h_n\cdot_{\mathbf{S}} g_n,\\ f_{2n+1}^{\mathbf{2}} + f_{2n}^{\mathbf{2}} &= h_n^{\mathbf{2}} + g_n^{\mathbf{2}}. \end{aligned} \qquad (82)$$

By [8], $\nabla_{b,a}\mu_n = g_n^{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\nabla_{b,a}\tau_n = (f_{2n+1}^{\mathbf{2}} + f_{2n}^{\mathbf{2}})/2$, hence

$$2(\nabla_{b,a}\tau_n - \nabla_{b,a}\mu_n) = h_n^{\mathbf{2}} - g_n^{\mathbf{2}}. \qquad (83)$$

In view of (81)-(83), formula (47) then follows from (70). □

7 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we show Theorem 1.1. Its three statements are contained in Theorem 7.1, Theorem 7.8, and Corollary 7.11, respectively.

Theorem 7.1. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega :\ \mathcal{M}\ &\to \mathcal{P}\\ (b,a)&\mapsto((x_n,y_n)_{1\le n\le N-1},C_1,C_2)\end{aligned}$$

is a global, real analytic diffeomorphism.

Local Properties In a first step we establish that Ω is a local diffeomorphism everywhere in phase space.

Proposition 7.2. *At every point $(b,a)\in\mathcal{M}$, the differential $d_{(b,a)}\Omega : T_{(b,a)}\mathcal{M}\to T_{\Omega(b,a)}\mathcal{P}$ is a linear isomorphism.*

Let us first introduce some additional notation. For $(b,a)\in\mathcal{M}$ and $1\le n\le N-1$, define

$$d_n := \nabla_{b,a}x_n \quad\text{and}\quad d_{-n} := \nabla_{b,a}y_n.$$

Further we recall Lemma 7.2 in [8], needed later.

Lemma 7.3. *At every point (b,a) in $\mathcal{M}$, the vectors*

$$\big((\nabla_{b,a}I_n)_{n\in K},\nabla_{b,a}C_1,\nabla_{b,a}C_2\big)$$

are linearly independent. Here $K=K(b,a)$ denotes the index set of open gaps,

$$K := \{1\le n\le N-1 : \gamma_n(b,a)>0\}.$$

Proposition 7.2 follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 7.4. *At every point $(b,a)\in\mathcal{M}$, the $2N$ vectors*

$$\big((d_n)_{1\le n\le N-1},\,(d_{-n})_{1\le n\le N-1},\,\nabla_{b,a}C_1,\,\nabla_{b,a}C_2\big) \tag{84}$$

are linearly independent.

Proof of Lemma 7.4. To verify the claimed statement, consider an arbitrary linear combination $f=\sum_{1\le|n|\le N-1} r_nd_n+s_1\nabla_{b,a}C_1+s_2\nabla_{b,a}C_2$ with real coefficients $(r_n)_{1\le|n|\le N}$, s_1, s_2 such that $f=0$. For $m\in K$ take the scalar product of both sides of $f=0$ with $J\nabla_{b,a}I_m$ and use Lemma 4.6 together with the identity $I_m=(x_m^2+y_m^2)/2$ to get

$$0=\langle f,J\nabla_{b,a}I_m\rangle = r_m\{x_m,I_m\}_J+r_{-m}\{y_m,I_m\}_J = r_my_m-r_{-m}x_m.$$

Hence the 2-vectors (r_m,r_{-m}) and (x_m,y_m) are parallel, i.e. $(r_m,r_{-m})=c_m(\cos\theta_m,\sin\theta_m)$ with $c_m\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $c_m^2=r_m^2+r_{-m}^2$. Thus, if c_m vanishes, r_m and r_{-m} both vanish. Furthermore, by the definition of $d_{\pm m}$,

$$\begin{aligned}r_md_m+r_{-m}d_{-m}&=r_m\nabla_{b,a}x_m+r_{-m}\nabla_{b,a}y_m\\ &=c_m(\cos\theta_m\nabla_{b,a}x_m+\sin\theta_m\nabla_{b,a}y_m)\\ &=\frac{c_m}{\sqrt{2I_m}}\nabla_{b,a}I_m,\end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we used the identity

$$\nabla_{b,a} I_m = \sqrt{2I_m}(\cos\theta_m \nabla_{b,a} x_m + \sin\theta_m \nabla_{b,a} y_m),$$

obtained from $2I_m = x_m^2 + y_m^2$ by differentiation.

Hence the equation $f = 0$ reads

$$\sum_{n\in K} \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{2I_n}} \nabla_{b,a} I_n + \sum_{n\notin K} (r_n \nabla_{b,a} x_n + r_{-n} \nabla_{b,a} y_n) + s_1 \nabla_{b,a} C_1 + s_2 \nabla_{b,a} C_2 = 0. \tag{85}$$

Next, for $m \notin K$, take the scalar product of both sides of (85) with $J\nabla_{b,a} y_m$ and $J\nabla_{b,a} x_m$. By the commutator relations of Lemma 4.6 one then obtains the identities

$$0 = \langle f, J\nabla_{b,a} y_m \rangle = r_m \{x_m, y_m\}_J = r_m$$

and

$$0 = \langle f, J\nabla_{b,a} x_m \rangle = -r_{-m} \{x_m, y_m\}_J = -r_{-m}.$$

Hence (85) becomes

$$\sum_{n\in K} \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{2I_n}} \nabla_{b,a} I_n + s_1 \nabla_{b,a} C_1 + s_2 \nabla_{b,a} C_2 = 0.$$

By Lemma 7.3, $c_n = 0$ - hence $r_n = r_{-n} = 0$ by the remark above - for any $n \in K$ and $s_1 = s_2 = 0$. □

Global Properties In a second step, we show that Ω is bijective and canonical. First we show

Proposition 7.5. *The map Ω is proper, i.e. the preimage of any compact set is compact.*

To prove Proposition 7.5 we need two auxiliary results.

Lemma 7.6. *For any $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$ and any $1 \le n \le N-1$,*

$$\gamma_n^2 \le 3\pi(\lambda_{2N} - \lambda_1) I_n \tag{86}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \gamma_n^2 \le 12\pi^2 \alpha \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} I_n \right) + 9\pi^2 (N-1) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} I_n \right)^2. \tag{87}$$

A proof of Lemma 7.6 can be found in Appendix A of [8]; in the case $\alpha = 1$ (86) has been proved in [1] (p.601-602).

Lemma 7.7. *For $1 \le n \le N$ and any $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$,*

$$|b_n| \le |C_1(b,a)| + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \gamma_k(b,a), \tag{88}$$

$$0 < a_n \leq N\left(2\pi C_2(b,a) + |C_1(b,a)| + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\gamma_k(b,a)\right), \quad (89)$$

$$\lambda_{2N}(b,a) - \lambda_1(b,a) \leq 2\pi C_2(b,a) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\gamma_k(b,a). \quad (90)$$

Lemma 7.7 is shown in Appendix A. In a weaker form, it has been proved in [2] (p.564-565).

Proof of Proposition 7.5. Let $(b^{(m)}, a^{(m)})_{m\geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ so that $\big(\Omega(b^{(m)}, a^{(m)})\big)_{m\geq 1}$ converges in $\mathcal{P}$. Then, for any $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, the sequence $\big(I_n(b^{(m)}, a^{(m)})\big)_{m\geq 1}$ of action variables is a Cauchy sequence, as well as the sequence $(C_1^{(m)}, C_2^{(m)})_{m\geq 1}$ of the values of the Casimir functions C_1 and C_2. By Lemma 7.6 and Lemma 7.7 it then follows that $(b^{(m)}, a^{(m)})_{m\geq 1}$ admits a subsequence which converges to an element (b,a) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N_{\geq 0}$. As by assumption, the sequence $\big(C_2(a^{(m)})\big)_{m\geq 1}$ converges to $\alpha > 0$ and

$$\alpha = \lim_{m\to\infty} C_2(a^{(m)}) = \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N} a_n\right)^{1/N}$$

it follows that $a_n > 0$ for all $1 \leq n \leq N$, i.e. $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$. □

Proof of Theorem 7.1. By Proposition 7.2, Ω is open, and by Proposition 7.5, it is closed. As $\mathcal{P}$ is connected, $\Omega(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{P}$, hence Ω is onto. It is also 1-1, since, by the same reasoning, the set $\mathcal{B}$ of all points in $\mathcal{P}$ with more than one preimage is open and closed. We claim that $(0_{N-1}, 0_{N-1}, 0, 1) \notin \mathcal{B}$ and hence $\mathcal{B} = \emptyset$. Here 0_{N-1} denotes the vector $(0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. To see that $(0_{N-1}, 0_{N-1}, 0, 1) \notin \mathcal{B}$ note that for any $(b,a) \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\Omega(b,a) = (0_{N-1}, 0_{N-1}, 0, 1)$, all action variables vanish, and hence, by Theorem 3.3 (ii), all gaps must be collapsed. By the results reviewed in section 2, it then follows that $\mu_n = \lambda_{2n}$ for any $1 \leq n \leq N-1$ and hence there is exactly one matrix Q with $\gamma_n = 0$ for any $1 \leq n \leq N-1$ and $(\beta, \alpha) = (0,1)$. Since we have already shown the real analyticity of Ω in Theorem 4.3, this completes the proof of Theorem 7.1. □

Next we show that $\Omega : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{P}$ is canonical. Recall that the phase space $\mathcal{M}$ is endowed with the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_J$ defined by (5). It is degenerate and has C_1, C_2 as Casimir functions. The model space $\mathcal{P}$ is endowed with the standard Poisson structure on $\mathbb{R}^{2(N-1)}$, i.e. among the coordinate functions $(x_n, y_n)_{1\leq n\leq N-1}, \beta, \alpha$, all Poisson brackets vanish, except for $1 \leq n \leq N-1$,

$$\{x_n, y_n\}_0 = -\{y_n, x_n\}_0 = 1.$$

Note that on the model space $\mathcal{P}$, the coordinate functions β and α are two independent Casimirs defining a trivial foliation with symplectic leaves $\mathcal{P}_{\beta,\alpha} := \mathbb{R}^{2(N-1)} \times \{\beta\} \times \{\alpha\}$.

Theorem 7.8. *The map Ω is canonical, i.e. it preserves the Poisson brackets.*

Proof. We have to verify that $\{F, G\}_0 \circ \Omega = \{F \circ \Omega, G \circ \Omega\}_J$ for arbitrary functions F, G in $C^1(\mathcal{P})$. Clearly, the pullbacks of the functions β, α on $\mathcal{P}$ are the Casimir functions C_1, C_2 of $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_J$. Moreover, by Lemma 4.6, $\{x_k, y_l\}_J = \delta_{kl}$ and $\{x_k, x_l\}_J = \{y_k, y_l\}_J = 0$ everywhere on $\mathcal{M}$, hence Ω is canonical. □

Let $\pi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ denote the projection of $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{R}^{2(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ onto the last two factors. Then π defines a symplectic foliation with leaves $\mathcal{P}_{\beta,\alpha} = \mathbb{R}^{2(N-1)} \times \{\beta\} \times \{\alpha\}$. The definition of Ω together with Theorem 7.8 then leads to the following result.

Corollary 7.9. *For every $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\Omega(\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}) = \mathcal{P}_{\beta,\alpha},$$

and $\Omega|_{\mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha}} : \mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha} \to \mathcal{P}_{\beta,\alpha}$ is a symplectomorphism. In particular, the map

$$(I_n)_{1 \le n \le N-1} : \mathcal{M}_{\beta,\alpha} \to \left(\mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}\right)^{N-1}$$

is onto.

To formulate the last result of this section, recall that in section 2, for any $(b, a) \in \mathcal{M}$, we have introduced the isospectral set

$$\mathrm{Iso}\,(b, a) = \{(b', a') \in \mathcal{M} : \mathrm{spec}\, Q(b', a') = \mathrm{spec}\, Q(b, a)\}.$$

For $(x, y, \beta, \alpha) \in \mathcal{P}$, let $\mathbb{T}(x, y, \beta, \alpha)$ denote the torus

$$\mathbb{T}(x, y, \beta, \alpha) := \{(u_n, v_n)_{1 \le n \le N-1} | u_n^2 + v_n^2 = x_n^2 + y_n^2 \ \ \forall n\} \times \{\beta\} \times \{\alpha\}.$$

Proposition 7.10. *For any $(b, a) \in \mathcal{M}$,*

$$\Omega(Iso\,(b, a)) = \mathbb{T}(\Omega(b, a)). \tag{91}$$

Proof. Note that the action variables I_n are defined in terms of the discriminant Δ_λ, and Δ_λ is a spectral invariant. Hence $\Omega(\mathrm{Iso}(b, a)) \subset \mathbb{T}(\Omega(b, a))$. As $\mathrm{Iso}(b, a)$ and $\mathbb{T}(\Omega(b, a))$ are both tori of the same dimension, (91) then follows. □

Corollary 7.11. *The pullback $\hat{H} = H \circ \Omega^{-1}$ of the Hamiltonian of the periodic Toda lattice H by Ω^{-1} is a function of the action variables $(I_n)_{1 \le n \le N-1}$ and the Casimir functions C_1, C_2 alone. In other words, $\hat{H}$ is in Birkhoff normal form. Therefore, the coordinates $((x_n, y_n)_{1 \le n \le N-1}, C_1, C_2)$ are global Birkhoff coordinates of the periodic Toda lattice.*

Proof. Note that H can be written as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}\,(L(b, a)^2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\lambda_j^+)^2$$

where $(\lambda_j^+)_{1 \le j \le N}$ are the N eigenvalues of $L(b, a)$. Hence, by Proposition 7.10, $\hat{H} = H \circ \Omega^{-1}$ is constant on $\mathbb{T}(x, y, \beta, \alpha)$, i.e. $\hat{H}$ is a function of $(I_n)_{1 \le n \le N-1}$, C_1, C_2 alone. □

A Proof of Lemma 7.7

We begin by proving the estimate (88). In a first step we use a trace formula observed by van Moerbeke [15] which expresses b_1 as a linear combination of the traces of $L^+ \equiv L^+(b,a)$, $L^- \equiv L^-(b,a)$ and $L_2 \equiv L_2(b,a)$, the matrix obtained from $L(b,a)$ by removing the first column and the first row:

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr } L^+ + \text{ tr } L^-) - \text{ tr } L_2. \tag{92}$$

The Dirichlet eigenvalues $(\mu_n)_{1\le n\le N-1}$ can be characterized as the spectrum of L_2. Hence we can rewrite (92) as

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{2N}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{2k} + \lambda_{2k+1} - 2\mu_k). \tag{93}$$

For b_{n+1} with $1 \le n \le N-1$, a similar formula can be derived,

$$b_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{2N}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{2k} + \lambda_{2k+1} - 2\mu_k^{(n)}). \tag{94}$$

Here $(\mu_i^{(n)})_{1\le i\le N-1}$ denotes the Dirichlet spectrum of $L(S^n b, S^n a)$. Note that $(S^n b)_1 = b_{n+1}$ and

$$\text{spec } Q(S^n b, S^n a) = \text{spec } Q(b,a),$$

since the discriminants (15) for (b,a) and $(S^n b, S^n a)$ coincide. Hence (94) can be obtained by applying (93) to the element $(S^n b, S^n a)$ instead of (b,a). Note that for any $1 \le k \le N-1$, the eigenvalue $\mu_k^{(n)}$ lies in the closed interval $[\lambda_{2k}, \lambda_{2k+1}]$.

It follows from (94) that the difference $b_i - b_j$ can then be estimated by

$$|b_i - b_j| \le \sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\gamma_k(b,a). \tag{95}$$

As for any $1 \le n \le N$ we have

$$Nb_n - NC_1 = Nb_n - \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_j = \sum_{j=1}^{N}(b_n - b_j),$$

it follows that $N|b_n| \le N|C_1| + N\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\gamma_k$, and formula (88) of Lemma 7.7 is established.

To obtain (89), choose an arbitrary L^2-orthonormal basis $(f_j)_{1\le j\le N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ of eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalues $(\lambda_j^+)_{1\le j\le N}$ of $L^+(b,a)$. We claim that

$$a_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N}\lambda_j^+ f_j(k) f_j(k+1). \tag{96}$$

To verify (96), multiply

$$a_{k-1}f_j(k-1) + b_k f_j(k) + a_k f_j(k+1) = \lambda_j^+ f_j(k)$$

by $f_j(k+1)$ and sum over j. As $(f_j)_{1\le j\le N}$ is an orthonormal basis, the $N\times N$-matrix $(f_j(k))_{j,k}$ is orthogonal, hence $\sum_{j=1}^N f_j(k)f_j(l) = \delta_{kl}$ for $1 \le k,l \le N$, and (96) follows. Since $|f_j(k)| \le 1\ \forall\, j,k$, the identity (96) implies that

$$a_k \le \sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j^+|. \tag{97}$$

To estimate $|\lambda_j^+|$, note that

$$\lambda_j^+ - C_1 = \lambda_j^+ - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k^+ = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^N (\lambda_j^+ - \lambda_k^+).$$

Hence for any $1 \le j \le N$,

$$-(\lambda_{2N} - \lambda_1) \le \lambda_j^+ + C_1 \le \lambda_{2N} - \lambda_1 \quad \text{or} \quad |\lambda_j^+| \le |C_1| + (\lambda_{2N} - \lambda_1)$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_j^+| &\le |C_1| + \sum_{n=1}^{2N-1} (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n) \\ &= |C_1| + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \gamma_n + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \big(\lambda_{2n} - \lambda_{2n-1}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

We now recall from ([8], Appendix B) that for any $1 \le n \le N$,

$$\lambda_{2n} - \lambda_{2n-1} \le \frac{2\pi C_2}{N}. \tag{99}$$

Combining (98) and (99) yields

$$|\lambda_j^+| \le |C_1| + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \gamma_n + 2\pi C_2.$$

Substituting this inequality into (97) leads to the desired estimate (89). Finally, the claimed estimate (90) easily follows from (99). Hence Lemma 7.7 is proved.

References

[1] D. Bättig, A. M. Bloch, J. C. Guillot & T. Kappeler, On the symplectic structure of the phase space for periodic KdV, Toda, and defocusing NLS. *Duke Math. J.* **79** (1995), 549-604.

[2] D. Bättig, B. Grébert, J. C. Guillot & T. Kappeler, Fibration of the phase space of the periodic Toda lattice. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **72** (1993), 553-565.

[3] H. Flaschka, The Toda lattice. I. Existence of integrals. *Phys. Rev.*, Sect. B **9** (1974), 1924-1925.

[4] H. Flaschka & D. McLaughlin, Canonically conjugate variables for the Korteweg-de Vries equation and the Toda lattice with periodic boundary conditions. *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **55** (1976), 438-456.

[5] E. Fermi, J. Pasta & S. Ulam, Studies of non linear problems. *Los Alamos Rpt.* **LA-1940** (1955). In: *Collected Papers of Enrico Fermi*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1965, Volume II, 978-988. Theory, Methods and Applications, 2nd ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.

[6] J. Garnett & E. Trubowitz, Gaps and bands of one dimensional periodic Schrödinger operators. *Comm. Math. Helv.* **59** (1984), 258-312.

[7] B. Grébert, T. Kappeler & J. Pöschel, Normal form theory for the NLS equation: a preliminary report. Preprint, 2003.

[8] A. Henrici & T. Kappeler, Global action-angle variables for the periodic Toda lattice. `arXiv:0802.4032v1 [nlin.SI]`.

[9] A. Henrici & T. Kappeler, Birkhoff normal form for the periodic Toda lattice. `arXiv:nlin/0609045v1 [nlin.SI]`. To appear in *Contemp. Math.*

[10] T. Kappeler & M. Makarov, On Birkhoff coordinates for KdV. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **2** (2001), 807-856.

[11] T. Kappeler & J. Pöschel, *KdV & KAM*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik, 3. Folge, vol. **45**, Springer, 2003.

[12] T. Kappeler & P. Topalov, Global Well-Posedness of KdV in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{R})$. *Duke Math. J.* **135**(2) (2006), 327-360.

[13] S. V. Manakov, Complete integrability and stochastization of discrete dynamical systems. *Zh. Exp. Teor. Fiz.* **67** (1974), 543-555 [Russian]. English translation: *Sov. Phys. JETP* **40** (1975), 269-274.

[14] H. P. McKean & K. L. Vaninsky, Action-angle variables for the cubic Schroedinger equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **50** (1997), 489-562.

[15] P. van Moerbeke, The spectrum of Jacobi matrices. *Invent. Math.* **37** (1976), 45-81.

[16] G. Teschl, *Jacobi Operators and Completely Integrable Nonlinear Lattices*. Math. Surveys and Monographs **72**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.

[17] M. Toda, *Theory of Nonlinear Lattices*, 2nd enl. ed., Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences **20**, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland
E-mail address: andreas.henrici@math.unizh.ch

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland
E-mail address: thomas.kappeler@math.unizh.ch